	Paperwork Reduction Act of	1995, no persons a		of information unle	ss it displays a valid OMB control number.	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number 10/662,205		05	
			Filing Date	Septemb	September 12, 2003	
			First Named Inventor	Rodney	Rodney H. Thomas	
			Art Unit	3679	3679	
			Examiner Name	NAHID A	NAHID AMIRI	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	9847-000	9847-000007/US	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s	Drawing(s (1 sheet)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Preliminary Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Propri	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Substitute Declaration and Power of Attorney		☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Issue Fee Transmittal, Check Comments on Statement of Allowance Amendment After Allowance		
Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement				Re	turn Postcard	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual name Harness, Diekey & Pietce,		Pietce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name . Anthony G. Fussner		eg. No. 7,582	
Signature		7	·			
Date November 30, 2005						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name Anthony 6. Fusa		Sener		Express Mail Label No.	EV 726255611 US	
Signature				Date	November 30, 2005	

Signature

Date November 30, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 O.S.C. 122 and 37 Orns. 1.4. This collection is estimated to 12 milliotes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

dication No.:

10/662,205

Filing Date:

September 12, 2003

Applicant:

Rodney H. Thomas

Group Art Unit:

3635

Examiner:

Amiri, Nahid

Title:

COVERS, SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR COVERING

DECK COMPONENTS

Attorney Docket:

9847-000007 US

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any specifically recited feature is outside the scope of the allowed claims.

Dated: November 30, 2005

Anthony G. Fussner Reg. No. 47,582

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. 7700 Bonhomme, Suite 400 St. Louis, Missouri 63105 (314) 726-7500 AGF/dmkd